

GOMMA RESULTS FOR OAEI 2012

ANIKA GROSS, MICHAEL HARTUNG, TORALF KIRSTEN, ERHARD RAHM

UNIVERSITÄT LEIPZIG

11TH NOVEMBER 2012, OM WORKSHOP, BOSTON

GOMMA

- GENERIC ONTOLOGY MATCHING AND MAPPING MANAGEMENT
- Comprehensive infrastructure to manage and analyze the evolution of *life science* ontologies and mappings



Generic match component to semantically align ontologies
→Could participate in 6 SEALS tracks

GOMMA's Scalable Match Techniques

- Parallel ontology matching on multiple computing nodes and CPU cores
- Indirect computation of ontology mappings by reusing and composing previously determined ontology mappings via intermediate ontologies
- Reduction of search space (blocking) by restricting matching to overlapping ontology parts

 \rightarrow Efficient and effective matching of very large ontologies







GOMMA MATCHING WORKFLOW





INITIAL PHASE

- Parse and load ontologies
- Assign all relevant information to concepts
- Text attributes for string-based comparison (name, synonym, instance, ...)
- Preprocessing (Normalization, Translation ...)

<u>Translation for non-English ontologies:</u>

- Use translation API (http://mymemory.translated.net/) to iteratively established a dictionary for non-English terms
- Add translated terms as synonyms

ID:	http://iasted_fr#c-1203110-3646755
Name:	pause café
Synonym:	coffee break
Synonym:	break

BLOCKING

- <u>Aim:</u> Reduce number of comparisons for large ontologies
- Useful for "asymmetric" match problems: match a specific ontology to a broader ontology (from which only a part is relevant)



- Automatically identify the relevant part of the broader ontology
- Match only this part with the more specific ontology

→ Can dramatically improve efficiency in applicable cases
→ Improve match quality due to fewer false positive correspondences

BLOCKING

- 1. Identify M_{initial} (efficient match method)
- 2. Identify a set of subgraph roots below the top root and propagate correspondence counts from the leaf level upwards to the roots
- 3. Compute correspondence fractions
- Select most valuable root(s), concepts in subgraphs used for matching; No root exceeds the threshold → blocking not applied



 $corrFrac(root) = \frac{|M_{initial}(subgraph(root))|}{|M_{initial}|}$

DIRECT MATCHING

- Use of internal ontology knowledge like concept associated information
- NameSynonym matcher: determine the maximal string similarity for names and multi-valued synonyms per concept pair
- Optionally (if available): apply a Comment matcher and Instance matcher



INDIRECT MATCHING

- Composition-based matching
- <u>Aim:</u> Reuse existing high quality mappings to efficiently match two so far unmatched ontologies
- Composing mappings via one or more intermediate "hub" ontologies (*IO*)
- For OAEI: Precompute several mappings (using the direct match strategy) from source and target to different IOs and compose these mappings
- Result mapping might still be incomplete:
- → Extend result mapping: Identify unmatched source and target concepts and match them directly

Postprocessing

- Combination of directly and indirectly determined mappings (union mappings, take average of similarity values)
- Select most likely correspondences
 - Similarity threshold
 - MaxDelta selection

es $(c_1) - \frac{\sin(c,c_1) = 0.8}{\sin(c,c_2) = 0.95}$ $(c_3) \sin(c,c_2) = 0.97$

- Consistency checking
 - Remove CrissCross
 - Datatype Consistency
 - ParentChild Extension
 - Property Extension

 $\frac{\sin(c_1, d_1) = 0.8}{(c_1) + (c_2) + (c_2)}$

 $sim(c_2, d_2) = 1.0$

EVALUATION RESULTS

- GOMMA participated in
 - Anatomy
 - Large Biomedical Ontologies
 - Library
 - Conference
 - Multifarm
 - Benchmarks

ANATOMY



- Most systems favor precision over recall
- Highest recall: GOMMA-bk
 - Composition and reuse of mappings to UMLS, Uberon and FMA
- Best F-Measure = 92.3
- GOMMA Runtime: 15-17 seconds

LARGE BIOMEDICAL ONTOLOGIES



- SNOMED-related tasks more difficult
- GOMMA-bk: F-Measure \uparrow , best F-Measure for small tasks (up to 94%)
- Blocking and parallel matching useful to achieve good runtimes: 97 min for all 9 tasks

LARGE BIOMEDICAL ONTOLOGIES

- 15 out of 23 participating systems/configurations solved at least one subtask
- 8 systems could complete all 9 *largeBio* tasks:



LIBRARY



- No system is better than three basic string-based strategies provided by the organizers
- GOMMA: (marginal) best F-measure for participating systems (67.4%)
- Especially high recall \rightarrow similar to basic strategy *MatcherAllLabels*
- ≈ GOMMA takes maximum similarity for name and synonyms

SUMMARY

- GOMMA achieves very good quality with good runtimes
- Best system for Anatomy & Library
- Among top systems for LargeBio, Conference, Multifarm&Benchmark

GOMMA's strength

- Scalable matching due to blocking, parallel matching and mapping composition
- Improvement of match quality by using domain knowledge
 - Mapping composition via domain-specific hub ontologies
 - Application of multi-language translation services for improved synonyms

Future Improvements

- Additional consistency checks
- Improved blocking techniques \rightarrow reduction of search space

GOMMA RESULTS FOR OAEI 2012



Funding: German Research Foundation Grant RA497/18-1 "EVOLUTION OF ONTOLOGIES AND MAPPINGS"